Network Working Group Request for Comments: 2253 Obsoletes: 1779 Category: Standards Track

M. Wahl Critical Angle Inc. S. Kille Isode Ltd. T. Howes Netscape Communications Corp. December 1997

Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3): UTF-8 String Representation of Distinguished Names

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1997). All Rights Reserved.

IESG Note

This document describes a directory access protocol that provides both read and update access. Update access requires secure authentication, but this document does not mandate implementation of any satisfactory authentication mechanisms.

In accordance with RFC 2026, section 4.4.1, this specification is being approved by IESG as a Proposed Standard despite this limitation, for the following reasons:

- a. to encourage implementation and interoperability testing of these protocols (with or without update access) before they are deployed, and
- b. to encourage deployment and use of these protocols in read-only applications. (e.g. applications where LDAPv3 is used as a query language for directories which are updated by some secure mechanism other than LDAP), and
- c. to avoid delaying the advancement and deployment of other Internet standards-track protocols which require the ability to query, but not update, LDAPv3 directory servers.

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard

[Page 1]

Readers are hereby warned that until mandatory authentication mechanisms are standardized, clients and servers written according to this specification which make use of update functionality are UNLIKELY TO INTEROPERATE, or MAY INTEROPERATE ONLY IF AUTHENTICATION IS REDUCED TO AN UNACCEPTABLY WEAK LEVEL.

Implementors are hereby discouraged from deploying LDAPv3 clients or servers which implement the update functionality, until a Proposed Standard for mandatory authentication in LDAPv3 has been approved and published as an RFC.

Abstract

The X.500 Directory uses distinguished names as the primary keys to entries in the directory. Distinguished Names are encoded in ASN.1 in the X.500 Directory protocols. In the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol, a string representation of distinguished names is transferred. This specification defines the string format for representing names, which is designed to give a clean representation of commonly used distinguished names, while being able to represent any distinguished name.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [6].

1. Background

This specification assumes familiarity with X.500 [1], and the concept of Distinguished Name. It is important to have a common format to be able to unambiguously represent a distinguished name. The primary goal of this specification is ease of encoding and decoding. A secondary goal is to have names that are human readable. It is not expected that LDAP clients with a human user interface would display these strings directly to the user, but would most likely be performing translations (such as expressing attribute type names in one of the local national languages).

2. Converting DistinguishedName from ASN.1 to a String

In X.501 [2] the ASN.1 structure of distinguished name is defined as:

DistinguishedName ::= RDNSequence

RDNSequence ::= SEQUENCE OF RelativeDistinguishedName

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard

[Page 2]

RelativeDistinguishedName ::= SET SIZE (1..MAX) OF AttributeTypeAndValue

```
AttributeTypeAndValue ::= SEQUENCE {
type AttributeType,
value AttributeValue }
```

The following sections define the algorithm for converting from an ASN.1 structured representation to a UTF-8 string representation.

2.1. Converting the RDNSequence

If the RDNSequence is an empty sequence, the result is the empty or zero length string.

Otherwise, the output consists of the string encodings of each RelativeDistinguishedName in the RDNSequence (according to 2.2), starting with the last element of the sequence and moving backwards toward the first.

The encodings of adjoining RelativeDistinguishedNames are separated by a comma character (',' ASCII 44).

2.2. Converting RelativeDistinguishedName

When converting from an ASN.1 RelativeDistinguishedName to a string, the output consists of the string encodings of each AttributeTypeAndValue (according to 2.3), in any order.

Where there is a multi-valued RDN, the outputs from adjoining AttributeTypeAndValues are separated by a plus ('+' ASCII 43) character.

2.3. Converting AttributeTypeAndValue

The AttributeTypeAndValue is encoded as the string representation of the AttributeType, followed by an equals character ('=' ASCII 61), followed by the string representation of the AttributeValue. The encoding of the AttributeValue is given in section 2.4.

If the AttributeType is in a published table of attribute types associated with LDAP [4], then the type name string from that table is used, otherwise it is encoded as the dotted-decimal encoding of the AttributeType's OBJECT IDENTIFIER. The dotted-decimal notation is described in [3]. As an example, strings for a few of the attribute types frequently seen in RDNs include:

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard [Page 3]

String X.500 AttributeType

CN	commonName
L	localityName
ST	stateOrProvinceName
0	organizationName
OU	organizationalUnitName
С	countryName
STREET	streetAddress
DC	domainComponent
UID	userid

2.4. Converting an AttributeValue from ASN.1 to a String

If the AttributeValue is of a type which does not have a string representation defined for it, then it is simply encoded as an octothorpe character ('#' ASCII 35) followed by the hexadecimal representation of each of the bytes of the BER encoding of the X.500 AttributeValue. This form SHOULD be used if the AttributeType is of the dotted-decimal form.

Otherwise, if the AttributeValue is of a type which has a string representation, the value is converted first to a UTF-8 string according to its syntax specification (see for example section 6 of [4]).

If the UTF-8 string does not have any of the following characters which need escaping, then that string can be used as the string representation of the value.

- a space or "#" character occurring at the beginning of the 0 string
- a space character occurring at the end of the string 0
- o one of the characters ",", "+", """, "\", "<", ">" or ";"

Implementations MAY escape other characters.

If a character to be escaped is one of the list shown above, then it is prefixed by a backslash ('' ASCII 92).

Otherwise the character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which form a single byte in the code of the character.

Examples of the escaping mechanism are shown in section 5.

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard [Page 4] RFC 2253

3. Parsing a String back to a Distinguished Name

The structure of the string is specified in a BNF grammar, based on the grammar defined in RFC 822 [5]. Server implementations parsing a DN string generated by an LDAPv2 client MUST also accept (and ignore) the variants given in section 4 of this document.

distinguishedName = [name] ; may be empty string = name-component *("," name-component) name name-component = attributeTypeAndValue *("+" attributeTypeAndValue) attributeTypeAndValue = attributeType "=" attributeValue attributeType = (ALPHA 1*keychar) / oid keychar = ALPHA / DIGIT / "-" = 1*DIGIT *("." 1*DIGIT) oid attributeValue = string = *(stringchar / pair) string / "#" hexstring / QUOTATION *(quotechar / pair) QUOTATION ; only from v2 quotechar = <any character except "\" or QUOTATION > special = "," / "=" / "+" / "<" / ">" / "#" / ";" pair = "\" (special / "\" / QUOTATION / hexpair) stringchar = <any character except one of special, "\" or QUOTATION > hexstring = 1*hexpair hexpair = hexchar hexchar hexchar = DIGIT / "A" / "B" / "C" / "D" / "E" / "F" / "a" / "b" / "c" / "d" / "e" / "f" ALPHA = <any ASCII alphabetic character> ; (decimal 65-90 and 97-122) = <any ASCII decimal digit> ; (decimal 48-57) DIGIT QUOTATION = < the ASCII double quotation mark character '"' decimal 34>

```
Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard
                                               [Page 5]
```

RFC 2253

4. Relationship with RFC 1779 and LDAPv2

The syntax given in this document is more restrictive than the syntax in RFC 1779. Implementations parsing a string generated by an LDAPv2 client MUST accept the syntax of RFC 1779. Implementations MUST NOT, however, generate any of the RFC 1779 encodings which are not described above in section 2.

Implementations MUST allow a semicolon character to be used instead of a comma to separate RDNs in a distinguished name, and MUST also allow whitespace characters to be present on either side of the comma or semicolon. The whitespace characters are ignored, and the semicolon replaced with a comma.

Implementations MUST allow an oid in the attribute type to be prefixed by one of the character strings "oid." or "OID.".

Implementations MUST allow for space (' ' ASCII 32) characters to be present between name-component and ',', between attributeTypeAndValue and '+', between attributeType and '=', and between '=' and attributeValue. These space characters are ignored when parsing.

Implementations MUST allow a value to be surrounded by quote ('"' ASCII 34) characters, which are not part of the value. Inside the quoted value, the following characters can occur without any escaping:

",", "=", "+", "<", ">", "#" and ";"

5. Examples

This notation is designed to be convenient for common forms of name. This section gives a few examples of distinguished names written using this notation. First is a name containing three relative distinguished names (RDNs):

CN=Steve Kille,O=Isode Limited,C=GB

Here is an example name containing three RDNs, in which the first RDN is multi-valued:

OU=Sales+CN=J. Smith,O=Widget Inc.,C=US

This example shows the method of quoting of a comma in an organization name:

CN=L. Eagle, O=Sue\, Grabbit and Runn, C=GB

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard [Page 6] An example name in which a value contains a carriage return character:

CN=Before\ODAfter,O=Test,C=GB

An example name in which an RDN was of an unrecognized type. The value is the BER encoding of an OCTET STRING containing two bytes 0x48 and 0x69.

1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.0=#04024869,O=Test,C=GB

Finally, an example of an RDN surname value consisting of 5 letters:

Unicode Letter Description 10646 code UTF-8 Quoted _____ ____ LATIN CAPITAL LETTER L U0000004C 0x4C L U0000075 0x75 LATIN SMALL LETTER U u LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH CARON U0000010D $0xC48D \C4\8D$ LATIN SMALL LETTER I U00000069 0x69 i LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH ACUTE U00000107 0xC487 \C4\87

Could be written in printable ASCII (useful for debugging purposes):

 $SN=Lu\C4\8Di\C4\87$

- 6. References
 - [1] The Directory -- overview of concepts, models and services. ITU-T Rec. X.500(1993).
 - [2] The Directory -- Models. ITU-T Rec. X.501(1993).
 - [3] Wahl, M., Howes, T., and S. Kille, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3)", RFC 2251, December 1997.
 - [4] Wahl, M., Coulbeck, A., Howes, T. and S. Kille, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3): Attribute Syntax Definitions", RFC 2252, December 1997.
 - [5] Crocker, D., "Standard of the Format of ARPA-Internet Text Messages", STD 11, RFC 822, August 1982.
 - [6] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119.

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard [Page 7]

7. Security Considerations

7.1. Disclosure

Distinguished Names typically consist of descriptive information about the entries they name, which can be people, organizations, devices or other real-world objects. This frequently includes some of the following kinds of information:

- the common name of the object (i.e. a person's full name)
- an email or TCP/IP address
- its physical location (country, locality, city, street address)
- organizational attributes (such as department name or affiliation)

Most countries have privacy laws regarding the publication of information about people.

7.2. Use of Distinguished Names in Security Applications

The transformations of an AttributeValue value from its X.501 form to an LDAP string representation are not always reversible back to the same BER or DER form. An example of a situation which requires the DER form of a distinguished name is the verification of an X.509 certificate.

For example, a distinguished name consisting of one RDN with one AVA, in which the type is commonName and the value is of the TeletexString choice with the letters 'Sam' would be represented in LDAP as the string CN=Sam. Another distinguished name in which the value is still 'Sam' but of the PrintableString choice would have the same representation CN=Sam.

Applications which require the reconstruction of the DER form of the value SHOULD NOT use the string representation of attribute syntaxes when converting a distinguished name to the LDAP format. Instead, they SHOULD use the hexadecimal form prefixed by the octothorpe ('#')as described in the first paragraph of section 2.4.

8. Authors' Addresses

Mark Wahl Critical Angle Inc. 4815 W. Braker Lane #502-385 Austin, TX 78759 USA

EMail: M.Wahl@critical-angle.com

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard

[Page 8]

Steve Kille Isode Ltd. The Dome The Square Richmond, Surrey TW9 1DT England

Phone: +44-181-332-9091 EMail: S.Kille@ISODE.COM

Tim Howes Netscape Communications Corp. 501 E. Middlefield Rd, MS MV068 Mountain View, CA 94043 USA

Phone: +1 650 937-3419 EMail: howes@netscape.com

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard

[Page 9]

9. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1997). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Wahl, et. al. Proposed Standard

[Page 10]